COLUMBUS BAR ASSOCIATION JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE POLL OF ELIGIBLE MUNICIPLE COURT JUDGES COMBINED JUDGES AVERAGES

TOTAL RESPONSES

	Barrows Average	<u>Dorrian</u> Average	<u>Green</u> Average	Brandt Average	Froehlich Average	<u>Grubb</u> Average	<u>Herbert</u> Average	Maynard Average	<u>Taylor</u> Average	<u>Liston</u> Average	Pollitt Average	VanDerKarr Average	<u>Hale</u> Average
Judicial Temperment	4.0	3.9	3.4	4.0	4.4	3.8	4.4	3.9	4.5	2.9	4.5	4.4	4.1
Legal Knowledge	4.0	3.0	3.3	4.0	3.9	4.1	3.9	3.4	4.2	3.9	4.1	4.1	3.8
Sentencing	3.7	3.3	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.9	4.1	3,3	4.0	2.8	4.3	4.3	4.0
Timeliness	4.1	3.6	3.4	4.0	4.1	4.0	4.2	2.7	4.2	3.1	4.2	4.3	3.7
Overall Rating	4.0	3.4	3.2	4.0	4.1	3.9	4.2	3.3	4.3	3.0	4.3	4.3	3.9

A	Average All Judges	
	4.0	
	3.8	
	3.7	
1	3.8	
	3.8	

Hon. Ted Barrows

	Total ¹	5	4	3	2	1	Average ²	Avg. All Judges³	X ⁴
Insufficient knowledge to rate this judge	1 1	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	198
Personally observed this judge in 2002-2004		0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	205
Judicial temperment:	242	91	97	36	9	9	4.0	4.0	0
Legal Knowledge:	244	82	106	39	7	10	4.0	3.8	0
Sentencing:	226	59	77	60	18	12	3.7	3.7	0
Timeliness:	241	105	83	34	6	13	4.1	3.8	0
Overall rating:	242	80	102	39	11	10	4.0	3.8	0

¹ "Total" equals the sum of those respondents evaluating the category between 1 and 5.

² "Average" equals the average evaluation of those respondents evaluating the category between 1 and 5.

³"Avg. All Judges" equals the average evaluation for the 14 eligible Municipal Court Judges in the 2004 Judicial Performance Poll.

⁴"X" equals the sum of those respondents unable to evaluate this judge/category at this time.

Hon. Julia L. Dorrian

	Total ¹	5	4	3	2	1	Average ²	Avg. All Judges ³	X ⁴
Insufficient knowledge to rate this judge		0	0	0	0	o∤	0.0	0.0	202
Personally observed this judge in 2002-2004		0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	197
Judicial temperment:	242	92	77	38	16	19	3.9	4.0	0
Legal Knowledge:	242	43	54	56	48	41	3.0	3.8	0
Sentencing:	230	44	53	55	46	32	3.3	3.7	0
Timeliness:	241	68	74	53	21	25	3.6	3.8	0
Overall rating:	242	53	69	59	37	24	3.4	3.8	0

¹ "Total" equals the sum of those respondents evaluating the category between 1 and 5.

 $^{^{2}}$ "Average" equals the average evaluation of those respondents evaluating the category between 1 and 5.

³"Avg. All Judges" equals the average evaluation for the 14 eligible Municipal Court Judges in the 2004 Judicial Performance Poll.

⁴ "X" equals the sum of those respondents unable to evaluate this judge/category at this time.

Hon James E. Green

	Total ¹	5	4	3	2	1	Average ²	Avg. All Judges ³	X ⁴
Insufficient knowledge to rate this judge		0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	110
Personally observed this judge in 2002-2004		0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	284
Judicial temperment:	338	83	92	80	38	45	3.4	4.0	0
Legal Knowledge:	337	51	97	112	47	30	3.3	3.8	0
Sentencing:	307	35	78	92	52	50	3.0	3.7	0
Timeliness:	329	63	106	89	37	34	3.4	3.8	0
Overall rating:	336	51	97	102	49	37	3.2	3.8	0

¹ "Total" equals the sum of those respondents evaluating the category between 1 and 5.

² "Average" equals the average evaluation of those respondents evaluating the category between 1 and 5.

³"Avg. All Judges" equals the average evaluation for the 14 eligible Municipal Court Judges in the 2004 Judicial Performance Poll.

⁴ "X" equals the sum of those respondents unable to evaluate this judge/category at this time.

Hon. Michael T. Brandt

								Avg. All	
	Total ¹	5	4	3	2	1	Average ²	Judges ³	X ⁴
Insufficient knowledge to rate this judge	1	1 0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	134
Insumitient knowledge to rate this judge		0	0	0	0	ol	0.0	0.0	262
Personally observed this judge in 2002-2004	316	133	107	47	15	14	4.0	4.0	1
Judicial temperment:	315	109	114	72	12	8	4.0	3.8	l ol
Legal Knowledge:	1 '	109	104	54	11	9	4.0	3.7	
Sentencing:	287				11	10	4.0	3.8	l ol
Timeliness:	310	114	108	67	11	- 1	4.0	3.8	
Overali rating:	314	119	115	60	11	9	4.0	3.0	

ΙΙΑ ηνα

¹ "Total" equals the sum of those respondents evaluating the category between 1 and 5.

² "Average" equals the average evaluation of those respondents evaluating the category between 1 and 5.

³"Avg. All Judges" equals the average evaluation for the 14 eligible Municipal Court Judges in the 2004 Judicial Performance Poll.

⁴ "X" equals the sum of those respondents unable to evaluate this judge/category at this time.

Hon. Mark S. Froehlich

	Total ¹	5	4	3	2	1	Average ²	Judges ³	X⁴
Insufficient knowledge to rate this judge Personally observed this judge in 2002-2004 Judicial temperment: Legal Knowledge: Sentencing:	Total ¹ 302 302 279	5 0 0 167 98 104	4 0 0 97 121 107	3 0 0 24 57 50	2 0 0 6 12	1 0 8 14 8	0.0 0.0 4.4 3.9 4.0	0.0 0.0 4.0 3.8 3.7	140 256 0 0
Timeliness: Overall rating:	299 3 <u>0</u> 1	123 124	117 118	41 39	10 12	8 8	4.1 4.1	3.8	0

Avg. All

 $^{^{\}mbox{\scriptsize 1}}$ "Total" equals the sum of those respondents evaluating the category between 1 and 5.

² "Average" equals the average evaluation of those respondents evaluating the category between 1 and 5.

³"Avg. All Judges" equals the average evaluation for the 14 eligible Municipal Court Judges in the 2004 Judicial Performance Poll.

⁴ "X" equals the sum of those respondents unable to evaluate this judge/category at this time.

Hon, Janet A. Grubb

	Total ¹	5	4	3	2	1	Average ²	Avg. All Judges ³	X ⁴
Insufficient knowledge to rate this judge Personally observed this judge in 2002-2004 Judicial temperment: Legal Knowledge: Sentencing: Timeliness: Overall rating:	328 327 302 325 324	0 0 122 141 106 127 119	0 97 123 108 104 117	0 0 53 39 63 62 58	0 0 31 10 11 15	0 0 25 14 14 17 16	0.0 0.0 3.8 4.1 3.9 4.0 3.9	0.0 0.0 4.0 3.8 3.7 3.8 3.8	122 273 0 0 0 0

¹ "Total" equals the sum of those respondents evaluating the category between 1 and 5.

² "Average" equals the average evaluation of those respondents evaluating the category between 1 and 5.

³"Avg. All Judges" equals the average evaluation for the 14 eligible Municipal Court Judges in the 2004 Judicial Performance Poll.

⁴ "X" equals the sum of those respondents unable to evaluate this judge/category at this time.

Hon. Paul M. Herbert

· ·									
	Total ¹	5	4	3	2	1	Average ²	Judges ³	. X ⁴
en to the control of the state	1 1	1 0	0	0	0	ol	0.0	0.0	191
Insufficient knowledge to rate this judge	1 1	1 1	0	0	0	ol	0.0	0.0	208
Personally observed this judge in 2002-2004	236	144	68	15	2	7	4.4	4.0	o
Judicial temperment:	1 1	78	83	56	12	7	3.9	3.8	l ol
Legal Knowledge:	236		69	41	5	်မြ	4.1	3.7	
Sentencing:	217	96	73	26	10	7	4.2	3.8	l ol
Timeliness:	235	119			- 10	6	4.2	3.8	ا م
Overall rating:	235	111	80	33		0	4.2		

Avg. All

¹ "Total" equals the sum of those respondents evaluating the category between 1 and 5.

² "Average" equals the average evaluation of those respondents evaluating the category between 1 and 5.

³"Avg. All Judges" equals the average evaluation for the 14 eligible Municipal Court Judges in the 2004 Judicial Performance Poll.

^{4 &}quot;X" equals the sum of those respondents unable to evaluate this judge/category at this time.

Hon. W. Dwayne Maynard

	Total ¹	5	4	3	2	1	Average ²	Avg. All Judges ³	. X ⁴
Insufficient knowledge to rate this judge		0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	137
Personally observed this judge in 2002-2004		0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	249
Judicial temperment:	295	95	110	58	15	17	3.9	4.0	0
Legal Knowledge:	295	59	87	88	32	29	3.4	3.8	0
Sentencing:	272	45	70	95	36	26	3.3	3.7	0
Timeliness:	292	41	52	56	58	85	2.7	3.8	0
Overall rating:	294	47	87	98	38	24	3.3	3.8	0

¹ "Total" equals the sum of those respondents evaluating the category between 1 and 5.

² "Average" equals the average evaluation of those respondents evaluating the category between 1 and 5.

³"Avg. All Judges" equals the average evaluation for the 14 eligible Municipal Court Judges in the 2004 Judicial Performance Poll.

^{4 &}quot;X" equals the sum of those respondents unable to evaluate this judge/category at this time.

Hon. Anne Taylor

	Total ¹	5	4	3	2	1	Average ²	Avg. All Judges ³	. X ⁴
Insufficient knowledge to rate this judge	1 1	1 0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	102
Personally observed this judge in 2002-2004		0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	276
	336	230	67	14	11	14	4.5	4.0	0
Judicial temperment:	336	165	106	38	10	17	4.2	3.8	0
Legal Knowledge:	307	166	81	30	13	17	4.0	3.7	0
Sentencing:	334	177	99	31	11	16	4.2	3.8	0
Timeliness:	334	186	96	28	10	14	4.3	3.8	
Overall rating:	334						L		

¹ "Total" equals the sum of those respondents evaluating the category between 1 and 5.

² "Average" equals the average evaluation of those respondents evaluating the category between 1 and 5.

³"Avg. All Judges" equals the average evaluation for the 14 eligible Municipal Court Judges in the 2004 Judicial Performance Poll.

⁴ "X" equals the sum of those respondents unable to evaluate this judge/category at this time.

Hon. Teresa L. Liston

	Total ¹	5 .	4	3	2	1	Average ²	Avg. All Judges ³	. X ⁴
Insufficient knowledge to rate this judge	1 1	0	0	0	0	. 0	0.0	0.0	115
Personally observed this judge in 2002-2004		1	1	2	0	0	0.0	0.0	262
Judicial temperment:	323	55	62	74	52	80	2.9	4.0	1
Legal Knowledge:	322	140	93	40	26	23	3.9	3.8	
Sentencing:	301	47	37	84	61	- 72	2.8	3.7	0
Timeliness:	320	78	59	72	50	61	3.1	3.8	0
Overall rating:	318	57	61	87	62	51	3.0	3.8	0

¹ "Total" equals the sum of those respondents evaluating the category between 1 and 5.

² "Average" equals the average evaluation of those respondents evaluating the category between 1 and 5.

³"Avg. All Judges" equals the average evaluation for the 14 eligible Municipal Court Judges in the 2004 Judicial Performance Poll.

^{4 &}quot;X" equals the sum of those respondents unable to evaluate this judge/category at this time.

Hon. H. William Pollitt Jr.

	Total ¹	5	4	3	2	1	Average ²	Judges ³	X ⁴
1 the length of the rate this judge		I 0	. 0	0	0	o	[0.0	0.0	137
Insufficient knowledge to rate this judge Personally observed this judge in 2002-2004		Ō	0	0	0	o	0.0	0.0	245
	292	196	62	25	1	8	4.5	4.0	0
Judicial temperment:	292	125	109	41	5	12	4.1	3.8	
Legal Knowledge:	273	157	72	27	6	11	4.3	3.7	0
Sentencing:	289	141	85	47	6	10	4.2	3.8	0
Timeliness:	292	156	90	33	4	9	4.3	3.8	0
Overall rating:		100							

Avg. All

¹ "Total" equals the sum of those respondents evaluating the category between 1 and 5.

² "Average" equals the average evaluation of those respondents evaluating the category between 1 and 5.

³"Avg. All Judges" equals the average evaluation for the 14 eligible Municipal Court Judges in the 2004 Judicial Performance Poll.

⁴ "X" equals the sum of those respondents unable to evaluate this judge/category at this time.

Hon. Scott D. VanDerKarr

	Total ¹	5	4	3	2	1	Average ²	Judges ³	. X ⁴
Insufficient knowledge to rate this judge Personally observed this judge in 2002-2004 Judicial temperment: Legal Knowledge: Sentencing: Timeliness: Overall rating:	305 305 283 304 304	0 0 198 141 167 170	0 1 67 96 65 82 80	0 0 20 45 29 31 28	0 0 8 8 11 9	0 0 12 15 11 12 13	0.0 0.0 4.4 4.1 4.3 4.3 4.3	0.0 0.0 4.0 3.8 3.7 3.8 3.8	130 253 0 0 0 0

Avg. All

¹ "Total" equals the sum of those respondents evaluating the category between 1 and 5.

² "Average" equals the average evaluation of those respondents evaluating the category between 1 and 5.

³"Avg. All Judges" equals the average evaluation for the 14 eligible Municipal Court Judges in the 2004 Judicial Performance Poll.

⁴ "X" equals the sum of those respondents unable to evaluate this judge/category at this time.

Hon, Harland H. Hale

	Total ¹	5	4	3	2	1	Average ²	Judges ³	. X ⁴
Insufficient knowledge to rate this judge Personally observed this judge in 2002-2004 Judicial temperment: Legal Knowledge: Sentencing: Timeliness:	Total 303 303 278 295	5 0 0 157 112 117 110	0 0 82 88 84 71	3 0 0 33 52 47 58	0 0 14 29 17 25	0 0 17 22 13 31	0.0 0.0 4.1 3.8 4.0 3.7 3.9	0.0 0.0 4.0 3.8 3.7 3.8 3.8	124 239 0 0 0 0
Overall rating:	301	117	99	48	1/	20	3.9	3.0]	

Avg. All

¹ "Total" equals the sum of those respondents evaluating the category between 1 and 5.

² "Average" equals the average evaluation of those respondents evaluating the category between 1 and 5.

³"Avg. All Judges" equals the average evaluation for the 14 eligible Municipal Court Judges in the 2004 Judicial Performance Poll.

⁴ "X" equals the sum of those respondents unable to evaluate this judge/category at this time.