

**COLUMBUS BAR ASSOCIATION  
2004 JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE POLL OF  
ELIGIBLE 10th DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS JUDGES  
COMBINED JUDGES AVERAGES**

**TOTAL RESPONSES**

	<u>Bowman</u> Average	<u>Brown</u> Average	<u>Bryant</u> Average	<u>Klatt</u> Average	<u>Lazarus</u> Average	<u>Petree</u> Average	<u>Average</u> All Judges
Judicial Temperament	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.1	4.1	4.2
Legal Knowledge	4.0	3.4	4.3	4.3	3.8	3.6	3.9
Quality of Opinions	3.9	3.5	4.2	4.2	3.8	3.6	3.9
Overall Rating	4.0	3.6	4.3	4.3	3.8	3.7	3.9

Notes

- 5 Excellent in this category
- 4 Generally strong in this category (minor improvement needed)
- 3 Acceptable in this category (mediate improvement needed)
- 2 Weak in this category (significant improvement needed)
- 1 Poor in this category

**COLUMBUS BAR ASSOCIATION  
2004 JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE POLL OF  
ELIGIBLE COMMON PLEAS COURT JUDGES  
COMBINED JUDGES AVERAGES**

**TOTAL RESPONSES**

	<u>Bessey</u>	<u>Brunner</u>	<u>Cain</u>	<u>Connor</u>	<u>Crawford</u>	<u>Fais</u>	<u>Hogan</u>	<u>Johnson</u>	<u>McGrath</u>	<u>Miller</u>	<u>O'Neill</u>	<u>Pfeiffer</u>	<u>Sheward</u>	<u>Travis</u>
	Average	Average	Average	Average	Average	Average	Average	Average	Average	Average	Average	Average	Average	Average
Objectivity	4.2	3.7	4.2	3.5	3.0	3.7	4.2	4.5	4.1	3.9	1.9	3.3	3.1	4.3
Judicial Temperament	4.4	4.0	4.4	3.2	2.4	3.8	4.4	4.7	4.3	3.9	1.5	3.4	2.9	4.5
Legal Knowledge	3.9	3.3	3.8	3.4	3.6	3.4	4.1	4.3	4.0	3.9	2.2	3.2	3.3	4.5
Sentencing	3.9	3.6	4.1	3.6	3.0	3.5	4.1	4.2	4.0	3.8	2.1	3.2	3.3	4.2
Timeliness	3.9	3.2	3.9	3.4	3.7	3.1	4.2	4.2	4.0	3.9	2.0	3.5	3.5	4.1
Overall Rating	4.1	3.5	4.1	3.3	3.0	3.5	4.2	4.4	4.1	3.9	1.7	3.3	3.2	4.4

Notes

- 5 Excellent in this category
- 4 Generally strong in this category (minor improvement needed)
- 3 Acceptable in this category (mediate improvement needed)
- 2 Weak in this category (significant improvement needed)
- 1 Poor in this category

**COLUMBUS BAR ASSOCIATION  
2004 JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE POLL OF  
ELIGIBLE PROBATE COURT JUDGES  
HON. LAWRENCE A. BELSKIS**

**TOTAL RESPONSES**

	<i>Total</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>Average</i>	<i>Avg all Judges</i>
Objectivity	372	129	90	51	49	53	3.5	3.5
Judicial Temperament	374	128	83	54	51	58	3.5	3.5
Legal Knowledge	370	172	84	50	28	36	3.9	3.9
Timeliness	357	153	98	41	25	40	3.8	3.8
Overall Rating	370	136	85	54	46	49	3.6	3.6
Insufficient Knowledge	90							
Personally Observed	214							

In evaluating each of the 5 categories, the following definitions were used:

- 5 Excellent in this category
- 4 Generally strong in this category (minor improvement needed)
- 3 Acceptable in this category (mediate improvement needed)
- 2 Weak in this category (significant improvement needed)
- 1 Poor in this category

**COLUMBUS BAR ASSOCIATION  
2004 JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE POLL OF  
ELIGIBLE DOMESTIC/JUVENILE RELATIONS JUDGES  
COMBINED JUDGES AVERAGES**

**TOTAL RESPONSES**

	<u>Browne</u> Average	<u>Lias</u> Average	<u>Mason</u> Average	<u>Preisse</u> Average	<u>Squire</u> Average	<u>Average</u> All Judges
Objectivity	3.5	4.0	4.2	4.1	2.2	3.6
Judicial Temperament	3.5	3.5	4.3	4.3	2.2	3.6
Legal Knowledge	3.0	4.4	4.2	4.0	1.8	3.5
Sentencing	3.3	3.9	4.1	3.9	2.2	3.5
Timeliness	3.1	4.1	4.2	4.0	1.9	3.5
Overall Rating	3.3	4.0	4.2	4.1	1.9	3.5

Notes

- 5 Excellent in this category
- 4 Generally strong in this category (minor improvement needed)
- 3 Acceptable in this category (mediate improvement needed)
- 2 Weak in this category (significant improvement needed)
- 1 Poor in this category

